

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,349	11/25/2003	Louis G. Kovach II	021755-000100US	8056	
20350 7	590 12/12/2005	EXAMINER			
	AND TOWNSEND	LE, MA	LE, MARK T		
EIGHTH FLO	*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834	3617			

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 1: 4:	NI -	A 11 4/ - 1			
Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/724,349		KOVACH ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Mark T. Le		3617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the policy of the second section apported in the policy of the second section apported in the second section apported in the second section and second section apported in the second section s	pears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will a te, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 C	October 2005.					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	·= ··· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ier.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							
	Fredomost Office						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the amendments filed on October 25, 2005.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the known identification system described in section 10.1 of Digitrax Big Book of DCC, pages 113 and 114, in view of British reference 2,180,424.

The known identification system described in Digitrax book is similar to that recited in the instant claims. Said known identification system includes transponders and transponder detectors that are provided with IDs and connected to a computer functioning as a controller.

Regarding the instant claimed detectors that do not need to be connected to any track or roadway, as recited in instant claim 1, note the British reference; wherein, it suggests an alternative way to associate transponders and transponder detectors by using non-contact infrared communications. In view of the British reference, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace the communication connections between the transponders and the transponder detectors of said known identification system with non-contact infrared communications, in a manner similar to that taught in the British reference, so as to achieve expected advantages thereof, such as greater conveniences and flexibilities. Note that with such non-contact infrared communications, the transponder detectors of said known identification system do not need to be connected to any track or roadway as claimed.

Regarding claim 3, it is noted that the instant claimed arrangement is merely a reversal in the positions of the transponders and transponder detectors of said known

Application/Control Number: 10/724,349 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

identification system, as modified. Such reversal of parts is an obvious expedient in according to *in re Gazda*, 219 F.2d 449, 104 USPQ 400 (CCPA 1955) (Prior art disclosed a clock fixed to the stationary steering wheel column of an automobile while the gear for winding the clock moves with steering wheel; mere reversal of such movement, so the clock moves with wheel, was held to be an obvious expedient.). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to reverse the positions of the transponders and transponder detectors in said known identification system, as modified.

Regarding the instant claimed steps in method claims 1-3, note that the set up and operation of said known identification system, as modified, inherently require the method steps of claims 1-3.

3. Regarding Applicant's arguments directed to the amended feature of "without the need to be associated with any track or roadway, and the position detector elements being along a defined race course", recited in claim 1, note that the communication arrangement of the British reference does not have to be connected directly to a trackway so as to establish a communication therethrough; therefore, the communication arrangement of the British reference is readable as "without the need to be associated with any track or roadway", as broadly claimed. As to the instant claimed position detector elements being along a defined race course, note that in order for the detector device of the British reference to operate properly in the system described in the Digitrax book, the detector devices would have to be placed along the travel path or travel course of the associated vehicle(s) in order to detect the associated vehicle(s).

Application/Control Number: 10/724,349 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-6682. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (2:00-8:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark T. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

12/08/05